Serial No.: 09/964,392 Attorney's Docket No.: BS01-124

Art Unit: 2642 Page 14

## REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendment and the following remarks.

Claims 1-57 were pending in this application. By the foregoing amendments, claims 1, 6-11, 15, 30, 35-40, 43, 45, 49, 50, and 52-54 have been amended, claims 2-5, 12-14, 17-29, 31-34, 41, 42, 46-48, 55, and 56 have been canceled, and new claims 58-83 have been added.

Accordingly, claims 1, 6-11, 15, 16, 30, 35-40, 43-45, 49-54, and 57-83 will be pending herein upon entry of this Amendment.

In the Office Action, claims 1-4, 11-13, 15, 17-19, 26-28, 30-33, 40-43, 45-47, and 54-56 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Gurbani et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,282,275), claims 5-6, 14, 16, 20-21, 29, 34-35, 44, 48-49, and 57 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gurbani et al., claims 7-10, 22-25, 36-39, and 50-53 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gurbani et al. in view of Robbins (U.S. Patent No. 6,104,784). For the reasons stated below, Applicant respectfully submits that all claims pending in this application are in condition for allowance.

To the extent any of these rejections might still be applied to claims presently pending in this application, it is respectfully traversed.

The present invention is directed to recording and providing enhanced caller-id information. As discloses in page 4 of the specification of the application, and also as is well known, a conventional caller-id service provides a calling name and a calling number with or without a time stamp. In the present invention, however, in addition to providing the

Serial No.: 09/964,392 Attorney's Docket No.: BS01-124

Art Unit: 2642 Page 15

conventional caller-id information, a server provides enhanced caller-id information, such as a length of a call, a caller's location or address, call ending time, and a map showing the caller's address (paragraph [0006]).

Independent claims 1, 30, and 45, as amended, and newly added independent claim 73 recite a system and method for providing enhanced caller-id information including a server providing a message to a subscriber, "wherein the message includes at least a calling number, a called number, and a length of the call." The reference does not teach or suggest at least this feature of the claims.

In contrast to the present invention, Gurbani et al. discloses a system for storing and retrieving the conventional caller-id information from previous calls. Gurbani et al. discloses that a caller-id logs call's time stamp, caller's name, and the caller's number (i.e., the conventional caller id information) (column 4, lines 40-42). Gurbani et al. does not teach or suggest providing enhanced caller-id information including a length of a call.

In the Office Action, at page 6, the Examiner states that "the use of call log, or call detailed record (CDR) is well known in the art, specially keeping track of the call, length, call time and the time when call ended, helps for billing the customers for certain services." However, as indicated above, the present invention is directed to providing enhanced caller-id information. As is well known, the caller-id information is not used for billing the customers. It just provides the information to the subscriber. Therefore, Applicant respectively submits that providing a caller-id information including "a length of a call" to a subscriber is not obvious.

Serial No.: 09/964,392 Attorney's Docket No.: BS01-124

Art Unit: 2642 Page 16

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1, 30, and 45, as amended, and newly added claim 73 are patentable over Gurbani et al. Applicant also submits that dependent claims 6-11, 15, 16, 35-40, 43, 44, 49-54, 57-72, and 74-83 are also patentable over the references at least for the reasons set forth above with regard to the independent claims.

In view of the foregoing all of the claims in this case are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner have any questions or determine that any further action is desirable to place this application in even better condition for issue, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone Applicant's undersigned representative at the number listed below.

SHAW PITTMAN LLP 1650 Tysons Boulevard McLean, VA 22102

Tel: 703/770-7900

Respectfully submitted,

LARRY D. WOODRING.

Date: September 15, 2002

Joanne H Kim

Registration No. 51,193

Customer No. 28970